

SYMPLECTIC REDUCTION AND SYMMETRY ALGEBRA IN BOUNDARY CHERN-SIMONS THEORY*

Phillial Oh[†] and Mu-In Park[‡]

Center for Theoretical Physics

and Laboratory for Nuclear Science

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Abstract

We derive the Kac-Moody algebra and Virasoro algebra in Chern-Simons theory with boundary by using the symplectic reduction method and the Noether procedures.

Typeset using REVTeX

[†]Permanent address: Department of Physics, Sung Kyun Kwan University, Suwon 440-746, Korea;
Email address: ploh@ctpa03.mit.edu

[‡]Email address: mipark@physics.sogang.ac.kr

*This work is supported in part by funds provided by the U.S. Department of Energy (D.O.E.) under cooperative research agreement #DF-FC02-94ER40818.

I. INTRODUCTION

There has been vast interest in Chern-Simons theory [1] with boundary [2] in diverse areas of physics, especially in Chern-Simons gravity theory [3] because of a relation with black hole entropy [4,5]. Recently, Bañados et. al [6] further argued that the Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras on the boundary of the black hole play a crucial role in understanding the statistical origin of the BTZ black hole entropy [7]. However, the derivation of these algebras was based on some assumptions about boundary charges. Also the relation with standard Noether procedures and constraint analysis were not clear.

In this Letter, we rederive these algebras by applying the symplectic method [8] and the Noether procedure. We shall identify the correct symplectic structure on the boundary, calculate the Poisson bracket between gauge and diffeomorphism charges, and obtain the central terms in the Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras.

II. SYMPLECTIC STRUCTURE

Let us start from the Chern-Simons Lagrangian on the disc D

$$L = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \int_D d^2x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \left\langle A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\rho + \frac{2}{3} A_\mu A_\nu A_\rho \right\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle$ denotes trace. Up to a boundary term, (1) can be put into the canonical form with the Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{\kappa}{4\pi} \int_D d^2x \epsilon^{ij} (A_i^a \dot{A}_j^a - A_0^a F_{ij}^a). \quad (2)$$

(Here, $\epsilon^{012} \equiv \epsilon^{12} \equiv 1$, $A_i = A_i^a t_a$, $F_{ij} = F_{ij}^a t_a$, $F_{ij}^a = \partial_i A_j^a - \partial_j A_i^a + f^{abc} A_i^b A_j^c$, and the group generators t^a satisfy $[t^a, t^b] = f^{abc} t^c$, $\langle t^a t^b \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab}$.) We shall take (2) as our starting point. Variation with respect to A_0^a gives the Gauss' law constraint

$$F_{ij}^a = 0. \quad (3)$$

We adopt symplectic method and first solve the constraint explicitly [8]. The solution is the pure gauge

$$A_i = g^{-1}\partial_i g. \quad (4)$$

Substitution into the Lagrangian (2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} L &= -\frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \int_D d^2x \epsilon^{ij} \langle g^{-1}\partial_i g \partial_t (g^{-1}\partial_j g) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \int_D d^2x \epsilon^{ij} \langle \partial_i g^{-1}\partial_j g g^{-1}\dot{g} \rangle + \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \oint_{\partial D} d\varphi \langle g^{-1}\partial_\varphi g g^{-1}\dot{g} \rangle \\ &\equiv L_B + L_S, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where φ denotes the angular coordinate on the boundary ∂D of disc D , and L_B and L_S are the bulk and surface Lagrangians, respectively. Upon the parameterization of g locally, it can be shown that the L_B is also a surface term [9].

Let us first compute the symplectic structure [10]. Lagrangian (5) suggests the following canonical 1-form

$$\Theta = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \int_D d^2x \epsilon^{ij} \langle \partial_i g^{-1}\partial_j g g^{-1}dg \rangle + \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \oint_{\partial D} d\varphi \langle g^{-1}\partial_\varphi g g^{-1}dg \rangle, \quad (6)$$

where dg is the functional exterior derivative of g . Then, a straightforward computation gives

$$\Omega = d\Theta = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \oint_{\partial D} d\varphi \langle d(g^{-1}\partial_\varphi g) \wedge g^{-1}dg \rangle. \quad (7)$$

The above symplectic structure (7) yields the following Poisson bracket

$$\{(g^{-1}\partial_\varphi g)_{AB}(\varphi), g_{CD}(\varphi')\} = \frac{\pi}{\kappa} \delta_{AD} g_{CB} \delta(\varphi - \varphi'), \quad (8)$$

where indices $A, B, \dots$ denote the components of the matrix. To be more explicit, we parameterize the group element by some local coordinates θ^a ($a = 1, \dots, \dim G$): $g \equiv g(\theta^a)$.

Let us define [11]

$$g^{-1}(\varphi) \frac{\partial g(\varphi)}{\partial \theta^a(\varphi)} = C_a{}^b(\varphi) t_b \delta(\varphi - \varphi'). \quad (9)$$

Then

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\partial D} d\varphi \oint_{\partial D} d\varphi' \omega_{ab}(\varphi, \varphi') d\theta^a(\varphi) \wedge d\theta^b(\varphi') \quad (10)$$

with

$$\omega_{ab}(\varphi, \varphi') = -\frac{\kappa}{4\pi} \left[\frac{\partial A_\varphi^c(\varphi)}{\partial \theta^a(\varphi')} C_b^c(\varphi) - \frac{\partial A_\varphi^c(\varphi)}{\partial \theta^b(\varphi')} C_a^c(\varphi) \right], \quad (11)$$

where $g^{-1}\partial_\varphi g = A_\varphi^a(\theta)t_a$. In general, this 2-form is degenerate, and does not possess an inverse. Further reduction must occur from G to one of its coadjoint orbit G/H [11]. For our purpose, we just assume the symplectic reduction has been performed and denote the coordinates on G/H by $\bar{\theta}^\alpha$ ($\alpha = 1, \dots, \dim G/H = \text{even}$). Then the 2-form (11) descends onto symplectic 2-form $\omega_{\alpha\beta}(\varphi, \varphi')$ on G/H , and the Poisson bracket is defined by

$$\{\bar{\theta}^\alpha(\varphi), \bar{\theta}^\beta(\varphi')\} = \omega^{\beta\alpha}(\varphi', \varphi), \quad (12)$$

where $\omega^{\alpha\beta}$ is the inverse of $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$. Fortunately, we do not need an explicit expression in terms of local coordinates neither for $\omega^{\alpha\beta}$ nor for C_α^b of (9). Starting from the reduced expression of (7) on G/H , we find

$$\{A_\varphi^a(\bar{\theta}(\varphi)), g(\bar{\theta}(\varphi'))\} = -\frac{2\pi}{\kappa} \delta(\varphi - \varphi') g(\varphi') t^a. \quad (13)$$

With this we calculate the Poisson bracket for A_φ^a :

$$\begin{aligned} \{A_\varphi^a(\varphi), A_{\varphi'}^b(\varphi')\} &= \frac{2\pi}{\kappa} f^{acb} A_\varphi^c(\varphi) \delta(\varphi - \varphi') + \frac{2\pi}{\kappa} \partial_\varphi \delta(\varphi - \varphi') \delta^{ab} \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{\kappa} (D_\varphi \delta(\varphi - \varphi'))^{ab}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

which is the Kac-Moody algebra in density form. (D_φ is the φ -th component of the covariant derivative $D_i^{ab} = \delta^{ab}\partial_i + f^{acb}A_i^c$.)

III. KAC-MOODY ALGEBRA OF GAUGE TRANSFORMATION

We now consider the gauge transformation generated by $\delta g = g\lambda$. From (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta L &= \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \int_D d^2x \epsilon^{ij} \langle g^{-1} \partial_j g g^{-1} \partial_i g \lambda \rangle - \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \oint_{\partial D} d\varphi \langle g^{-1} \partial_\varphi g \lambda \rangle \right] \\ &\equiv \frac{dX}{dt}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Then the Noether charge associated with this gauge transformation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\lambda) &= \left\langle \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{g}} \delta g \right\rangle - X \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{\pi} \oint_{\partial D} d\varphi \langle g^{-1} \partial_\varphi g \lambda \rangle = -\frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \oint_{\partial D} d\varphi A_\varphi^a \lambda^a. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Using (14), we find that $Q(\lambda)$ satisfies the Kac-Moody algebra:

$$\{Q(\lambda), Q(\eta)\} = Q([\lambda, \eta]) - \frac{\kappa}{\pi} \oint_{\partial D} d\varphi \langle \lambda \partial_\varphi \eta \rangle, \quad (17)$$

where $[\lambda, \eta]^a = f^{abc} \lambda^b \eta^c$.

IV. VIRASORO ALGEBRA OF DIFFEOMORPHISM

In the derivation of the Kac-Moody algebra (17), the existence of the central term does not depend on what boundary condition one chooses for λ 's. The λ 's just have to be non-constant and single-valued functions on the boundary. However, in the derivation of the Virasoro algebra, the existence of central term depends crucially on the boundary condition one imposes. In the computation of the Virasoro charge, constraints can be imposed from the beginning as in the Kac-Moody case, or after the Noether procedure has been applied. We examine both cases here, because they give different boundary conditions in general, even though the final expressions of the charges are the same. Let us start with the Lagrangian (2) and study the response of L to a spatial and time-independent diffeomorphism (*Diff*):

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_f x^\mu &= -\delta^\mu_i f^i, \\ \delta_f A_i^a &= f^j \partial_j A_i^a + (\partial_i f^j) A_j^a, \\ \delta_f A_0^a &= f^j \partial_j A_0^a. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Under (18), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_f L &= \frac{\kappa}{4\pi} \int_D d^2 x \epsilon^{ij} \partial_k [f^k A_i^a \dot{A}_j^a - f^k A_0^a F_{ij}^a] \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{4\pi} \oint_{\partial D} d\varphi f^r (A_r^a \dot{A}_\varphi^a - \dot{A}_r^a A_\varphi^a - A_0^a \epsilon^{ij} F_{ij}^a). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Now, we have two possible boundary conditions in order that there be *Diff* invariance, i.e., $\delta_f L = \frac{d}{dt} X$: (a). $f^r|_{\partial D} = 0$, (b). $A_r^a|_{\partial D} = \text{constant}$, $\partial_r A_\varphi^a|_{\partial D} = 0$, and $A_0^a|_{\partial D} \propto A_\varphi^a|_{\partial D}$.

(a). This is the simpler boundary condition for the *Diff* invariance with $X = 0$ and results in *Diff* only *along* the circle (∂D). The Noether charge for this *Diff* becomes

$$\begin{aligned} Q(f) &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{A}_i^a} \delta_f A_i^a \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{4\pi} \int_D d^2 x f^k A_k^a \epsilon^{ij} F_{ij}^a - \frac{\kappa}{4\pi} \oint_{\partial D} d\varphi f^\varphi A_\varphi^a A_\varphi^a, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

and, imposing the $F_{ij}^a = 0$, one is left with

$$Q(f) = -\frac{\kappa}{4\pi} \oint_{\partial D} d\varphi f^\varphi A_\varphi^a A_\varphi^a, \quad (21)$$

where $A_\varphi = g^{-1} \partial_\varphi g$. Using the previous Poisson bracket (14), we find

$$\{Q(f), Q(g)\} = Q([f, g]), \quad (22)$$

where $[f, g] \equiv g \partial_\varphi f - f \partial_\varphi g$. This is the Virasoro algebra *without* central term, called Witt algebra. So, if we restrict the *Diff* along the circle (∂D) there is no central term classically. The central term will arise only as a quantum mechanical effect of normal ordering.

(b). From $A_r^a|_{\partial D} = \text{constant}$, $\partial_r A_\varphi^a|_{\partial D} = 0$, and $A_0^a|_{\partial D} \propto A_\varphi^a|_{\partial D}$, (19) becomes $\frac{dX}{dt}$ with $X = \frac{\kappa}{4\pi} \oint_{\partial D} d\varphi f^r A_r^a A_\varphi^a$. The Noether charge becomes

$$\begin{aligned} Q(f) &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{A}_i^a} \delta_f A_i^a - X, \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{4\pi} \int_D d^2 x f^k A_k^a \epsilon^{ij} F_{ij}^a - \frac{\kappa}{4\pi} \oint_{\partial D} d\varphi (2f^r A_r^a A_\varphi^a + f^\varphi A_\varphi^a A_\varphi^a), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and, imposing $F_{ij}^a = 0$, one is left with

$$Q(f) = -\frac{\kappa}{4\pi} \oint_{\partial D} d\varphi (2f^r A_r^a A_\varphi^a + f^\varphi A_\varphi^a A_\varphi^a), \quad (24)$$

where $A_\varphi = g^{-1} \partial_\varphi g$. Using the Poisson bracket (14) and treating $A_r^a|_{\partial D}$ as a *c*-number, we find

$$\{Q(f), Q(g)\} = Q([f, g]) + \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} A_r^a A_r^a \oint_{\partial D} d\varphi f^r \partial_\varphi g^r. \quad (25)$$

In general, this algebra does not satisfy the Jacobi identity and so the Noether charge $Q(f)$ as a symmetry generator can not be accepted. Therefore, the only way to avoid this undesirable situation is to consider the subset of transformation with particular $f^r|_{\partial D} \propto \partial_\varphi f^\varphi|_{\partial D}$ and $g^r|_{\partial D} \propto \partial_\varphi g^\varphi|_{\partial D}$ [6] such that only the third order derivatives appear in the central term and hence (25) satisfies the Jacobi identity. A constant term $\propto \oint_{\partial D} d\varphi f^\varphi A_r^a A_r^a$ can be added to $Q(f)$ in (25) to obtain the first order derivative term in the center. Then, (25) becomes the standard form of the Virasoro algebra with central term by proper normalization of the proportionality constant [6]. So, in contrast to the *Diff* along the circle (∂D), *Diff* which deforms *across* the boundary has the central term even classically.

Now, let us recompute the charges after $F_{ij}^a = 0$ was imposed from the beginning, and compare with previous results. Using $F_{ij}^a = 0$, one can show that the second equation of (18) becomes [12]

$$\delta_f A_i^a = D_i(f^i A_i)^a. \quad (26)$$

Then by substituting this into the variation of action (2) without the F_{ij}^a term, we find

$$\delta_f L = \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \int_D d^2x \epsilon^{ij} A_i^a D_j(f^k A_k)^a \right] + \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \oint_{\partial D} d\varphi f^i A_i^a \dot{A}_\varphi^a. \quad (27)$$

For *Diff* invariance, we demand the second term to be a total time derivative. Again, we have two boundary conditions: (a). $f^r|_{\partial D} = 0$, and (b). $\dot{A}_r^a|_{\partial D} = 0$.

(a). When $f^r|_{\partial D} = 0$, we find the second term of (27) reduces to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\kappa}{4\pi} \int_D d^2x f^\varphi A_\varphi^a A_\varphi^a \right], \quad (28)$$

and Noether charge is precisely the expression given in (21).

(b). When $f^r|_{\partial D} \neq 0$, the second term can again be combined into a total derivative term by demanding that $\dot{A}_r^a|_{\partial D} = 0$. Then, we have the charge precisely given in (24), and again one finds the Virasoro algebra with central term. Note that unlike the derivation of (24), we need not to impose the extra conditions $\partial_r A_\varphi^a|_{\partial D} = 0$, and $A_0^a|_{\partial D} \propto A_\varphi^a|_{\partial D}$.

V. CONCLUSION

In summary, Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebra of Chern-Simons theory were derived by using the boundary symplectic structure which emerges as a consequence of symplectic reduction, and by applying the standard Noether procedures. The merit of our approach is that no assumptions about boundary charges are needed, and the Kac-Moody algebra in its standard current density form (14) is obtained. It remains to be seen whether the Dirac's method yields the same results. It would be also interesting to extend the symplectic method to the supersymmetric case and to the higher dimensional Chern-Simons theories.

Note added.-After completing this work, we became aware of Ref. [13] in which the Kac-Moody algebra in Yang-Mills theory with Chern-Simons term was derived and generalized to higher dimensions. We thank J. Mickelsson for informing us about his work.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Prof. R. Jackiw for suggesting this problem and guidance throughout the work. We also thank M. Ortiz for useful discussions. P.O. was supported by the Korea Ministry of Education through Basic Science Research Institute (BSRI/98-1419) and by the Korea Science Engineering Foundation (KOSEF) through the project number (95-0702-04-01-3). M.I.P. was supported by the financial support of Korea Research Foundation (KRF) made in the program year 1997.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Deser, R. Jackiw, and Templeton, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982) 975 ; Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) 140 (1982) 372; (E) 185 (1988) 406.
- [2] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. 121 (1989) 351; G. Moore and N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. B 220 (1989) 422; S. Elitzur, G. Moore, A. Schwimmer, and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B 326 (1989) 108; M. Bos and V. P. Nair, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 5 (1990) 959; A. P. Balachandran, G. Bimontz, K. S. Gupta and A. Stern, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 7 (1992) 4655; G. Bimonte, K. S. Gupta, and A. Stern, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 8 (1993) 653.
- [3] A. Achúcaro and P. K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. B 180 (1986) 89; E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 311 (1988) 46.
- [4] S. Carlip, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 632; Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 878.
- [5] A. Strominger, e-print hep-th/9712251; J. Maldacena and A. Strominger, e-print gr-qc/9801096.
- [6] M. Bañados, T. Brotz, and M. Ortiz, e-print hep-th/9802076; M. Bañados, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1996) 5816.
- [7] M. Bañados, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 1849; M. Bañados, M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 1506.
- [8] L. D. Faddeev and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60 (1988) 1692.
- [9] R. Jackiw, in *Current Algebra and Anomalies* edited by S. B. Treiman, R. Jackiw, B. Zumino, and E. Witten (World Scientific, Singapore, 1985).
- [10] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. 92 (1984) 455.
- [11] D. Bak, R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 6778.
- [12] R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41 (1979) 1635; Acta. Phys. Austr. Suppl. XXII (1980) 383, reprinted in *Diverse Topics in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, (World Scientific,

Singapore, 1995).

- [13] J. Mickelsson, Lett. Math. Phys. 7 (1983) 45; Commun. Math. Phys. 97 (1985) 361; see also *Current Algebras and Groups* (Plenum Press, New York, 1989).